

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 01273 292231Z

62

ACTION EB-11

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CAB-09 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00

DOTE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 FAA-00 L-03 SS-20 NSC-07

DRC-01 /088 W

----- 104486

R 292211Z APR 74

FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3337

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE OTTAWA 1273

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRN, CA

SUBJECT: CIVAIR: NON-SCHEDULED AGREEMENT - DESIGNATION AND
LICENSING

REF: STATE 081564

1. EMBASSY FORWARDED LETTER BASED REFTEL TO
CHAIRMAN THOMSON, AIR TRANSPORT COMMITTEE, ON
APRIL 24 AND TCO MET INFORMALLY WITH HIM
MORNING APRIL 29 TO REVIEW SOME OF THE POINTS
THEREIN. HIS MANNER WAS TESTY AND SIMILAR TO
HIS PARTICIPATION IN CHARTER NEGOTIATIONS.
THOMSON WILL PREPARE REPLY SPELLING OUT ATC
VIEWS ON DESIGNATION AND LICENSING WHICH EMBASSY
WILL FORWARD BY CABLE. (WE ARE FORWARDING TO
STYLES EB/OA/AN COPY OF OUR LETTER SINCE
REPLY WILL PROBABLY REFER TO NUMBERED
PARAGRAPHS THEREIN WHICH DIFFER FROM THOSE
IN REFTEL.)

2. DURING MEETING THOMSON RAISED FOLLOWING
POINTS:

A. CONTRARY TO PARAGRAPH 2 REFTEL, VERY FEW
APPLICATIONS BY US AIR TAXI OPERATORS FOR
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 01273 292231Z

9-4 LICENSES ARE CURRENTLY PENDING AT ATC.

PROCESSING OF APPLICATIONS NEVER STOPPED DURING
NEGOTIATIONS AND PREPARATIONS FOR SIGNATURE OF
THE AGREEMENT.

B. ATC WILL ITSELF GO THROUGH OUTSTANDING
LICENSES AND AMEND THEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH
REQUIREMENTS OF AGREEMENT. CARRIERS ON
PRESENT ELEGIBLE LIST WILL CONTINUE THEREON
UNTIL NEW LICENSES ISSUED.

C. LICENSES TO OPERATE SMALL AIRCRAFT
OVER 18,000 POUNDS WILL CONTINUE TO
REQUIRE FLIGHT BY FLIGHT APPROVAL.

D. CONTRARY TO PARAGRAPH 6 REFTTEL, THOMSON
MAINTAINED CANADA DOES NOT LIMIT ITS
CARRIERS TO OPERATE FROM A SINGLE BASE.
THE CAN OPERATE CHARTERS FROM ANY POINT
IN CANADA HOWEVER, POSITIONING CHARGES
MAY BE APPLICABLE AS OUTLINED GENERAL
ORDER NO. 1972-3 AIR, COPY OF WHICH BEING
FORWARDED TO STYLES. (THOMSON STATED SUCH
CHANGES DO NOT APPLY TO US OPERATORS. DEPT.
MAY WISH TO REVIEW THIS.)

3. THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION ON THOMSON'S
PART WITH RESPECT TO WHY WE WERE MENTIONING
(PARAGRAPH 6 REFTTEL) QUESTION OF DESIGNATION
OF US ROUTE CARRIERS FOR ROUTES WHICH
CONTAIN COTERMINALS BETWEEN WHICH THEY DO
NOT POSSESS CAB AUTHORITY. TCO ALSO WAS
NOT CLEAR AS TO RELEVANCE THIS EVEN FOR
ILLUSTRATING DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DESIGNATION
AND DOMESTIC LICENSING. DEPT'S CLARIFICATION
DESIRED.

4. A MAJOR ISSUE SO FAR AS THOMSON IS
CONCERNED RELATES TO SECTION 402 PROCEEDINGS
FOR CANADIAN DESIGNATED NON-SCHEDULED CARRIERS,
INCLUDING SMALL AIRCRAFT OPERATORS, AT CAB.
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 01273 292231Z

HE INDICATED DISSATISFACTION WITH COMPLEXITY
AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR DELAY IN THIS
PROCEDURE AND HOPED THAT ONCE A CARRIER WAS
DESIGNATED BY GOC ITS CAB LICENSE WOULD BE
FORTHCOMING ON A SIMPLE AND EXPEDITED BASIS.
TCO INDICATED THAT HE FELT THAT 042
PROCEDURE WOULD CONTINUE TO BE REQUIRED,
ESPECIALLY FOR CARRIERS OPERATING NON-

SCHEDULED SERVICES WITH OTHER THAN SMALL AIRCRAFT (E.G., AIR CANADA, THE REGIONALS, ETC.,) BUT WAS UNSURE AS TO WHAT WOULD BE INVOLVED WITH RESPECT TO SMALL AIRCRAFT OPERATORS DESIGNATED UNDER THE AGREEMENT. AT THIS POINT, THOMSON SUMMONED QUIRT TO JOIN DISCUSSION AND TCO ASKED HIM WHAT WAS SAID ABOUT THIS, IF ANYTHING, DURING NON-SCHEDULED AGREEMENT NEGOTIATIONS. QUIRT WAS A BIT VAGUE AND INDICATED ONLY THAT COMPLEXITIES OF 402 PROCEEDINGS HAD BEEN RAISED BY GOC BUT HE DIDN'T RECALL A SPECIFIC ANSWER BEING GIVEN TO GOC EXPRESSIONS OF CONCERN. THOMSON FELT MAINTENANCE OF 402 PROCEEDINGS INCONSISTENT WITH OUR EMPHASIS MINIMIZE PAPERWORK BURDEN AND INDICATED HE WOULD LIKE FULL CLARIFICATION OF THIS BEFORE SENDING HIS RESPONSE.

5. WE HAVE GIVEN FULFORD (EXTAFF) COPY OF EMBASSY'S LETTER TO THOMSON AND WILL BRING MATTER UP ORALLY WITH HIM AS SOON AS IT IS MORE CLEAR WHERE POINTS OF DIFFERENCE ARE BETWEEN US AND ATC.

6. RE PARAGRAPH 7 REFTTEL, COPY ATC LIST SENT STYLES APRIL 23.
JOHNSON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TREATY SIGNATURE, SUPPLEMENTAL AIRLINES, AVIATION AGREEMENTS, LICENSES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 29 APR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974OTTAWA01273
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740102-0118
From: OTTAWA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t1974046/aaaaaeuo.tel
Line Count: 144
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: STATE 081564
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: garlanwa
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 15 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <15 APR 2002 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <23 JUL 2002 by garlanwa>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CIVAIR: NON-SCHEDULED AGREEMENT - DESIGNATION AND LICENSING
TAGS: ETRN, CA, US
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005